

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

10/081,752 02/21/2002 Mario Vismara 163-381 9402 7590 02/05/2003 James V. Costigan, Esq. HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2646 Mario Vismara 163-381 9402 EXAMINER COLETTA, LORI L ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
James V. Costigan, Esq. HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas	10/081,752	02/21/2002	Mario Vismara	163-381	9402
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas	7:	590 02/05/2003			
Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas				EXAMINER	
ADTIBUT DADED MINORD		COSTIGAN, P.C.		COLETTA	, LORI L
				APTINIT	DADED NIMBED
				3612 DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
4	5.	10/081,752	
€'	Office Action Summary	Examiner	VISMARA ET AL. Art Unit
	•		\
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Lori L. Coletta	h the correspondence address
Period fo	r Reply	·	n the correspondence address
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	December 2002	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposiți	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom conductation.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
	on Papers	,	
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	cted to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
11)⊠ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on <u>27 De</u>	ecember 2002 is: a)⊠ appro	oved b) disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	' •	
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)🛛 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·	
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
Attachment(s)		
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the **bumper** (claim 3) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 3, "curved bumpers" (lines 3-4) needs to be changed to --curved bumper--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, "a centrally-placed absorber system" (lines 5 and 6) is not clear. What is positively recited by a centrally-placed absorber system?

· Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claims 6 and 7, "cross member (17A) is made of metal or plastic and is flat or shaped" (lines 2-3) is not clear. Claim 1 states "a substantially linear front cross member (17A)" (line 2) which means the front cross member is straight (flat). How can the front cross member be "shaped" when claim 1 states "a substantially linear front cross member (17A)"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 6 and 7, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Braun 6,290,272

Regarding claim 1, Braun '272 discloses a protective structure for vehicles, characterized in that it comprises a substantially linear front cross member (2) comprising a unitary fillable internal chamber, and featuring at least one underlying laterally-placed first absorber (1), connected externally after the cross member (2) on the bumper side (3) of the vehicle in Figure 1. It is inherent that the front cross member (2) is connected to lateral side members.

Regarding claim 3, Braun '272 discloses the protective structure for vehicles, characterized in that said cross member (2) has a substantially straight geometrical structure which is fitted with curved bumper (3) conforming in shape to said underlying laterally-placed first absorbing element (1) interposed between said bumper and said cross member in Figure 1.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Braun '272 discloses the protective structure for vehicles characterized in the said cross member (2) is flat in Figure 1. It is inherent that the cross member

Art Unit: 3612

(2) is made of metal or plastic. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself.

The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (produced from an extruded linear profile or moulding and welding).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braun 6,290,272 in view of Shiotani et al. 3,842,944.

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Braun '272 discloses the protective structure for vehicles.

However, Braun '272 does not show inside said cross member, at least one second absorber element is present, increasing the collapsing force of the cross member and therefore the energy absorbed and, simultaneously, limiting the overall dimensions of the entire structure (claim 2); and said first underlying absorber element and said second absorber element comprise absorbing materials selected from the group consisting of extruded thermoplastic honeycomb, honeycomb made of aluminum, polyurethane foam, foamed polypropylene, rigid polyurethane, semi-rigid polyurethane and extruded polyurethane (claim 5).

Shiotani et al. '944 teach a hollow shock absorber structure filled with a foamed aluminum.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,752

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claims 2 and 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the cross member of the protective structure of Braun '272 filled with a foamed aluminum, as taught by Shiotani et al. '944, in order to increase the energy absorbing efficiency.

Regarding claim 4, Braun '272, as modified, discloses the protective structure for vehicles characterized in that said underlying laterally-placed first absorber element and said second absorber element are made of materials deformation pressures of 5-30 N/mm² which correspond to a crushing of 50%.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3612

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori L. Coletta whose telephone number is (703) 306-4614. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3597 for regular communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1134.

lle lle January 29, 2003

D. GLENN DAYOAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600